UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred X

Scanso	ft, Inc.	
		V. CA No. <u>04-10353-PBS</u>
Voice S	Signal T	Fechnologies, Inc., et al_
		with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the sachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge <u>Alexander</u> for the following proceedings
(A)		Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions.
(B)		Referred for full pretrial case management, not including dispositive motions:
(C)		Referred for discovery purposes only.
(D)	Х	Referred for Evidentiary Hearing and Report and Recommendation on:
		 () Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings¹ (X) Motion to Disqualify Goodwin Proctor LLP from Representing Scansoft. (Doc. #294)
(E)		Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s).
(F)		Case referred for settlement.
(G)		Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5)
(H)		Special Instructions:
<u>Decemb</u> Date	ber 29,	By: /s/ Robert C. Alba Deputy Clerk
(Order of	Referen	nce Scansoft.wpd - 05/2003)

See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

In accordance proceeding is a		rules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:
	Make	a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases
	Appoi	nt counsel if the interests of justice so require
	Order	issuance of appropriate process, if necessary
		hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a mendation to the district judge
	shall h	magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to strict judge setting forth:
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.
		may also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.
		any issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary g, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;
	(d)	state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Reference Scansoft.wpd - 1/20/03)